

Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures

www.lajss.org

Effects of the Strain Rate Sensitivity and Strain Hardening on the Saturated Impulse of Plates

Abstract

This paper studies the stiffening effects of the material strain rate sensitivity and strain hardening on the saturated impulse of elastic, perfectly plastic plates. Finite element (FE) code ABAQUS is employed to simulate the elastoplastic response of square plates under rectangular pressure pulse. Rigid-plastic analyses for saturated impulse, which consider strain rate sensitivity and strain hardening, are conducted. Satisfactory agreement between the finite element models (FEM) and predictions of the rigid-plastic analysis is obtained, which verifies that the proposed rigid-plastic methods are effective to solve the problem including strain rate sensitivity and strain hardening. The quantitative results for the scale effect of the strain rate sensitivity are given. The results for the stiffening effects suggest that two general stiffening factors n_1 and n_2 , which characterizes the strain rate sensitivity and strain hardening effect, respectively can be defined. The saturated displacement is inversely proportional to the stiffening factors (i.e. n_1 and n_2) and saturated impulse is inversely proportional to the square roots of the stiffening factors (i.e. $\sqrt{n_1}$ and $\sqrt{n_2}$). Formulae for displacement and saturated impulse are proposed based on the empirical analysis.

Keywords

Saturated Impulse, Scale Effect, Strain Rate Sensitivity, Strain Hardening

Ling Zhu ^a Xu He ^b F. L. Chen ^{b, c, *} Xueyu Bai ^d

^a Key Laboratory of High Performance Ship Technology of Ministry of Education, Wuhan University of Technology, P. R. China Email: lingzhu@whut.edu.cn ^b Collaborative Innovation Center for Advanced Ship and Deep-Sea Exploration, Wuhan University of Technology, P. R. China. Email: Huxlevictory@126.com ^c Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, No.2 Fenghao East Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100094, China. ^d Green Ship and Marine Engineering Equipment Technology Research Centre, Wuhan University of Technology, P. R. China. Email: xswhutbxy@126.com

*Corresponding author. Email: flchen@iapcm.ac.cn

http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1679-78253664

Received 06.01.2017 In revised form 05.04.2017 Accepted 14.05.2017 Available online 26.05.2017

Notation	
$ \beta \ \text{scale factor} $ $ \gamma \ \text{defined by Equation (27)} $ $ \varepsilon \ \text{strain} $ $ \delta_{mean} \ \text{average strain} $ $ \dot{\varepsilon} \ \text{strain rate} $ $ \dot{\varepsilon} \ \text{equivalent strain rate} $ $ \dot{\varepsilon}_{e} \ \text{equivalent strain rate} $ $ \dot{\tau} \ \text{coefficient in Equations (30), (31)} $ $ \mu \ \text{mass per unit area of a plate} $ $ \rho \ \text{material density} $ $ \sigma_{0} \ \text{fild stress} $ $ \tau \ \text{duration of a rectangular pressure pulse} $ $ \tau_{c} \ \text{mean duration of a rectangular pressure pulse} $ $ \tau_{c} \ \text{mean duration of a rectangular pressure pulse} $ $ \sigma_{i} \ \text{frequency of free vibration} $ $ a \ \text{length of a square plate} $ $ a \ \text{defined by Equation(1.c)} $ $ n \ \text{defined by Equation (39)} $ $ n_{1} \ \text{stiffening factor defined by Equations (10), (11) } $ $ n_{2} \ \text{stiffening factor defined by Equation (22)} $ $ q \ \text{Cowper-Symonds coefficient, Equation(10)} $	$ \begin{split} \overline{I}_{sat}^{f} & \text{dimensionless saturated impulse for permanent} \\ \text{displacement} \\ \overline{I}_{sat}^{im} & \text{dimensionless saturated impulse for maximum} \\ \text{displacement} \\ \overline{I}_{sat}^{ipper} & \text{lower bound of dimensionless saturated impulse} \\ \overline{I}_{sat}^{inper} & \text{upper bound of dimensionless saturated impulse} \\ (\overline{I}_{sat}^{m})_{NS} & \text{dimensionless saturated impulse for maximum} \\ \text{displacement excluding strain rate sensitivity} \\ (\overline{I}_{sa}^{m})_{S} & \text{dimensionless saturated impulse for maximum} \\ \text{displacement excluding strain rate sensitivity} \\ P_{0} & \text{pressure pulse} \\ P_{c} & \text{static collapse pressure} \\ T & \text{time when motion eases} \\ T_{i} & \text{period of free vibration} \\ W & \text{transverse displacement} \\ W_{1} & \text{transverse displacement} \\ W_{1} & \text{transverse displacement} \\ W_{j} & \text{permanent transverse displacement} \\ W_{m} & \text{maximum transverse displacement} \\ W_{m} & \text{maximum transverse displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{iower} & \text{lower bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{maximum transverse displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{maximum transverse displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{maximum transverse} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & \text{upper bound of the saturated displacement} \\ W_{ist}^{imper} & upper bound of the saturated dis$
 D Cowper-Symonds coefficient, Equation (10) E Young's modulus E_t Tangent modulus H plate thickness L dimensionless saturated impulse, defined by Equa 	strain hardening $\left(W_{sat}^{f}\right)_{NS}$ saturated permanent displacement excluding strain rate sensitivity $\left(W_{sat}^{f}\right)_{S}$ saturated permanent displacement including
\overline{I} dimensionless saturated impulse, defined by Equation (17)	strain rate sensitivity $ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{W} \end{pmatrix} = d(W)/dt $

1 INTRODUCTION

Explosion is one of the most deadly hazards to structures which may result in a large number of devastating casualties and destructions, for example an explosion of a ship. Such a case study on the damage effect caused by a ship explosion in Leiden in 1807 was reported by Reitsma (2001). The anti-blast design of structures often requires an estimate of the response to blast loadings so that many efforts have been focused on the analysis of dynamically loaded structural elements. Zhu (1996) investigated, both experimentally and numerically, the transient deformation modes of square plates under explosive loading. The elastic-plastic numerical predictions in that paper showed good agreement with the experimental results not only of the permanent deflection but also of the transient deformation profiles. Jacob et al. (2004) reported a series of experimental results on

clamped mild steel quadrangular plates of different thicknesses and varying length-to-width ratios subjected to localized blast loads of varying sizes. The experimental results they presented provide an insight into the effect of scaling of plate geometries and in particular the loading conditions for impulsively loaded quadrangular plates. Tavakolia and Kiakojouri (2014) carried out numerical simulations of nonlinear dynamic response of square stiffened steel plates subjected to uniform blast loading by FE code ABAQUS and investigated the effect of stiffener configurations, boundary conditions, fixing details and strain rate on the dynamic response. Trajkovski et al. (2014) conducted detailed numerical simulations of circular plates made of RHA steel under blast loading using multi material arbitrary Lagrange Euler method in the FE code LS-DYNA to investigate the influence of mesh properties (particularly mesh size, its biasing and distance of the boundary conditions from the deforming structure) on blast wave loading parameters and structural response and proposed a minimum mesh design criteria based on the results.

Saturated impulse phenomenon is a characteristic of the response of plates subjected to a pulse loading when large inelastic deformation occurs. The concept is that if a plate is subjected to a rectangular pressure pulse with a sufficiently long duration, then only an early part of the pulse contributes to the maximum and permanent deflection of the plate, and the rest of the loading pulse will cause no further increase of these deflections. Several theoretical studies on saturated impulse of structures have been published. Zhao et al. (1994) were first illustrating that a saturated impulse existed for a structure undergoing large displacement under moderate loading. Zhao et al. (1995) then extended the concept of saturated impulse to simply supported beams, simply supported and clamped square plates and cylindrical shells. Due to the limitation of the rigid-plastic model, the saturated impulse proposed by Zhao et al. (1994, 1995) was only referred to the maximum displacement and the saturated impulse for the permanent displacement of plates could not be obtained. Zhu and Yu (1997) further developed the idea of saturated impulse with respect to the maximum displacement and the permanent displacement respectively by an elastic-plastic analysis. Their analysis suggests that two saturated impulses can be defined for an elastic, plastic structure: one corresponding to the maximum displacement and the other corresponding to the permanent displacement. Zhu et al. (2016a) verified that the saturated impulse obeys scaling law through analysing geometrically similar square plates made of bilinear elastic-plastic materials by FE code ABAQUS. Zhu et al. (2016b) subsequently explored the effect of aspect ratio of a plate on saturated impulse; thereby the saturated impulse phenomenon was extended to the rectangular plates. Zhu et al. (2017) investigated the influence of pulse shape on the saturated impulse. An equivalent method is proposed to predict the deflection of fully clamped square plates, which replaces the linearly decaying pressure pulse with an equivalent rectangular pressure pulse. Bai et al. (2017) discussed the effects of aspect ratio and boundary conditions on the saturation phenomenon using a series of rectangular plates with various boundary conditions under rectangular pressure pulse as typical examples.

Ship plates subjected to blast loading usually deform at a high strain rate; for mild steel, it is well known that the flow stress increases with the strain rate. However, Alves and Yu (2005) discussed that the material is still assumed to be rigid, perfectly plastic in most common approach for basic analysis of impacted structures, despite the fact that super computers are capable of dealing with very complicated structures using a wide variety of material models. To obtain a more accurate solution to the analysis of large inelastic deformation it is vital to model a more realistic material property. They pointed out that the strain hardening and strain rate sensitivity are two important properties which influence the large inelastic deformation of a material.

Marais et al. (2004) reported a particularly accurate and precise physical and experimental data capture and data processing system for high strain rate testing using the split Hopkinson pressure bar. They compared the actual processed test data with both the Johnson-Cook and Cowper-Symonds high strain rate materials models, as well as with other published data. Zhu, Faulkner and Atkins (1994) performed experiments on clamped metal plates made of a strain rate sensitive material struck by a rigid wedge. They developed a simple theoretical procedure based on the rigid, perfectly plastic method by using a strain rate sensitivity factor based on the average dynamic yield stress. Good agreement was obtained between the theoretical analysis and experiments with respect to the permanent deflection of the plates. An investigation to determine the behavior of stiffened plates subjected to uniform blast loading considering the effect of strain rate sensitivity was carried out by Kadid (2008). Results showed that the inclusion of strain-rate effect results in a much stiffer response and a smaller mid-point displacement. Jones (2014) discussed the influence of the material strain rate sensitivity for structures with various shapes and gave some theoretical predictions comparing them with experimental results. Jones (2009) discussed that material strain rate sensitivity does not satisfy the requirements of geometrically similar scaling and pointed out the influence of material strain rate sensitivity must be retained in the calculations for the absolute values at the different scales; it is only the difference between the influence of material strain rate sensitivity at the two scales which is usually not significant. Hu and Zhao (2001) analyzed some mechanical quantities and experimental results of similar models to study the influence of scale effect pertaining to plastic strain rate on the material and structural dynamic response. Alves (2000) proposed a modification of the Cowper-Symonds equation for that the Cowper-Symonds equation is not able to predict the present material behavior for a broad range of strains, unless its coefficients change. The modified equation by Alves (2000) offers an alternative, whereby any stress can be predicted reasonably well for any input plastic strain. It differs from the Cowper-Symonds equation by one coefficient. Oshiro and Alves (2004) solved the problem of non-scalability of structures under impact loads caused by strain rate effects by suggesting a methodology by properly changing the impact or blast velocity in a way that models and prototypes follow the scaling laws. Also, Alves and Oshiro (2006a) showed a procedure to obtain the model impact mass for a strain rate sensitive structure such that the model and the prototype behave the same. Alves and Oshiro (2006b) further expanded the methodology in Oshiro and Alves (2004) and Alves and Oshiro (2006a) to the case where the model is made of a different material from that of the prototype. By so proceeding, it is possible to predict, in an extreme case, the strain rate sensitive prototype behaviour from a non-strain rate sensitive model response.

In this paper, the scale effect of the strain rate sensitivity on the saturated impulse is studied by analyzing the saturated impulse phenomena of geometrically similar square plates made of strain rate sensitive materials. Stiffening effect of strain rate sensitivity is studied by comparing the results retaining strain rate sensitivity with those where the strain rate sensitivity is excluded. Stiffening effect of strain hardening is studied by analysing the response for various material's strain hardening modulus. Solutions of rigid-plastic analysis for saturated impulse, which take strain rate sensitivity and strain hardening into account, are proposed respectively in Section 2. The scale effect of strain rate sensitivity on saturated displacement and saturated impulse is illustrated in Section 3. The quantitative stiffening effects of strain rate sensitivity and strain hardening on saturated displacement and saturated impulse are shown in Section 4. Sections 5 and 6 contain the discussion and conclusion.

2 RIGID-PLASTIC ANALYSIS

2.1 Theoretical Solution Considering Strain Rate Sensitivity

A simplified theoretical solution excluding strain rate sensitivity for a fully clamped square plate subjected to a uniform pressure pulse shown in Figure 1 was presented by Jones (2012), which uses the square yield condition in Figure 2.



(b) Rectangular pressure pulse

Figure 1: A fully clamped square plate subjected to a uniform pressure pulse.



Figure 2: Maximum normal stress yield condition with inscribing and circumscribing square yield conditions.

For a square plate, in the first phase of motion $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, the transverse displacement given in Jones (2012) is

$$W_1/H = (\eta - 1)(1 - \cos a_3 t) \tag{1.a}$$

where

$$\eta = P_0 / P_c \tag{1.b}$$

is the dimensionless pressure amplitude,

$$a_3 = (1/H)\sqrt{2P_c/\rho} \tag{1.c}$$

and

$$P_c = 12\sigma_0 H^2 / a^2 \tag{1.d}$$

is the static collapse pressure.

In the second phase of motion, $\tau \le t \le T$, where T is the time when all motion ceases, the transverse displacement is expressed as

$$W_2/H = \left[(1-\eta)\cos a_3 t + \eta \cos a_3 (t-\tau) - 1 \right]$$
(2)

The maximum permanent transverse displacement is

$$W_{f}/H = \left[1 + 2\eta(\eta - 1)(1 - \cos a_{3}\tau)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1$$
(3)

It is straightforward to show that the maximum permanent transverse displacement W_f reaches its peak when $a_3\tau = \pi$, or $\tau_c = \pi/a_3$. The associated saturated transverse displacement is

$$\left(W_f/H\right)_{sat} = 2(\eta - 1) \tag{4}$$

which means even if the loading duration exceeds τ_c , the maximum permanent displacement will not increase and keep a saturated value.

In fact, within this loading duration, when $t = \tau_c$ the motion stops in the first phase and the transverse displacement is

$$W_1/H = 2(\eta - 1)$$
 (5)

Therefore, only the first phase of motion needs to be considered when studying the saturated impulse phenomena.

For a square plate, spatially mean strain rate can be estimated as in Jones (2012)

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = W\dot{W}/4a^2 \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$(\dot{W}) = d(W)/dt \tag{6.2}$$

It is simple to show that $\dot{\varepsilon}$ has its maximum when $\cos a_3 t = -1/2$

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{\max} = 3\sqrt{6P_c/\rho} \left(\eta - 1\right)^2 H/a^2 \tag{7}$$

Assume that the "equivalent" strain rate throughout the response is equal to one-half of the maximum value, i.e.

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{\rm e} = \dot{\varepsilon}_{\rm max}/2 \tag{8}$$

It gives

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{e} = 3/2 \sqrt{6P_{c}/\rho} \left(\eta - 1\right)^{2} H/a^{2}$$
(9)

Consider the dynamic flow stress using Cowper-Symonds constitutive equation

$$n_1 = \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_0} = 1 + \left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_e}{D}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
(10)

where D and q are Cowper-Symonds coefficients.

It can be shown that

$$n_{1} = 1 + \left(\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{P_{c}}{\rho}}\frac{(\eta-1)^{2}H}{Da^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
(11)

where $n_{\! 1}$ is defined as the stiffening factor of strain rate sensitivity.

Equation (1.a) can be also written as

$$W_{1}/H = \left(\frac{P_{0}a^{2}}{12H^{2}\sigma_{0}} - 1\right) \left(1 - \cos\sqrt{\frac{24\sigma_{0}}{\rho a^{2}}}t\right)$$
(12)

If the effect of strain rate sensitivity is considered, replacing flow stress σ_0 by $n_1\sigma_0$, Equation (12) becomes

$$W/H = \left(\frac{P_0 a^2}{12H^2 n_1 \sigma_0} - 1\right) \left(1 - \cos\sqrt{\frac{24n_1 \sigma_0}{\rho a^2}}t\right)$$
(13)

or

$$W/H = \left(\frac{\eta}{n_1} - 1\right) \left(1 - \cos a_3 \sqrt{n_1} t\right) \tag{14}$$

The displacement W reaches its maximum when

$$\sqrt{\frac{24n_1\sigma_0}{\rho a^2}}t = \pi \tag{15}$$

and the associated maximum displacement is

$$W_{sat}^{lower} / H = 2 \left(\frac{\eta}{n_1} - 1 \right)$$
(16)

Dimensionless impulse is defined by

$$\overline{I} = \frac{p_0 \tau}{\sqrt{\mu H p_c}} \tag{17}$$

Expressing $\tau_c = t$ from Equation (15) and substituting it in Equation (17), the lower bound of the saturated impulse becomes

$$\overline{I}_{sat}^{lower} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2n_1}} \eta \tag{18}$$

By adopting an inscribing square yield curve, thus, replacing σ_0 by $0.618\sigma_0$, the upper bound value of saturated maximum displacement can be obtained

$$W_{sat}^{upper} / H = 2 \left(\frac{\eta}{0.618n_1} - 1 \right)$$
 (19)

The corresponding upper bound value of the dimensionless saturated impulse is

$$\overline{I}_{sat}^{upper} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{1.236n_1}} \eta \tag{20}$$

2.2 Theoretical Solution Considering Strain Hardening

For a square plate made of rigid-linear strain hardening material, the flow stress after hardening is

$$\sigma_0 = \sigma_0 + E_t \varepsilon \tag{21}$$

where E_t is the strain hardening modulus.

Assume the stiffening factor of strain hardening is n_2 , defined by

$$n_2 = \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_0} = 1 + \varepsilon \frac{E_t}{\sigma_0} \tag{22}$$

For a square plate, spatially mean strain can be estimated as in Jones (2012)

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2W^2}{a^2} \tag{23}$$

where $W = (\eta - 1)(1 - \cos a_3 t)H$ and t ranges from 0 to π/a_3 ,

The "average" strain is expressed as

$$\varepsilon_{mean} = \frac{a_3}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{a_3}} \frac{2\left[(\eta - 1)(1 - \cos a_3 t)H\right]^2}{a^2} dt$$
(24)

which gives

$$\varepsilon_{mean} = \frac{3(\eta - 1)^2 H^2}{a^2} \tag{25}$$

Substitute ε_{mean} into Equation (22) to obtain

$$n_{2} = \frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma_{0}} = 1 + 3(\eta - 1)^{2} \left(\frac{H}{a}\right)^{2} \frac{E_{t}}{\sigma_{0}}$$
(26)

Replacing n_1 by n_2 in Equations (16) and (18), the saturated displacement and the saturated impulse retaining strain hardening are obtained

$$W_{sat}^{lower} / H = 2 \left(\frac{\eta}{n_2} - 1 \right) \tag{16'}$$

$$\overline{I}_{sat}^{lower} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2n_2}}\eta \tag{18'}$$

3 SCALE EFFECT OF STRAIN RATE SENSITIVITY

3.1 Elastoplastic FEM

In this section, three fully clamped geometrically similar square plates under uniform rectangular pressure pulse are simulated using the FE code ABAQUS. 900x900x9mm square plate is the typical size of ship and platform plates and it is set as the prototype. 450x450x4.5mm and 150x150x1.5mm square plates are set as models, whose scale factor β is 1/2 and 1/6 respectively. By comparing the saturated impulse behaviour of these three different dimensions, the scale effect due to strain rate sensitivity will be studied. A rate-dependent elastic, perfectly plastic material model is adopted. The material parameters are listed in Table1. The S4R element type is used in FEM. After analysing the mesh sensitivity the mesh number of elements with 40x40 is found to be suitable to guarantee the time saving and accuracy of the calculations.

Density ρ (kg/m ³)	7800
Young's modulus E (GPa)	207
Yield stress σ_{θ} (MPa)	210
Cowper-Symonds Coefficient D (s ⁻¹)	40
Cowper-Symonds Coefficient q	5

Table 1: Material parameters.

The following magnitudes of dimensionless pressure pulse are used.

$$\eta = \frac{p_0}{p_c} = 1.33, 2.00, 2.67, 4.00 \text{ and } 6.67$$

For each pressure amplitude, a series of loading durations (τ) are applied. The maximum displacement W_m and permanent displacement W_f are computed for a given pressure pulse (p_0, τ). To evaluate the permanent displacement, an average of the fluctuated displacements is adopted as no damping is introduced in the FE model.

3.2 Scale Effect of Strain Rate Sensitivity

The dimensionless saturated permanent displacement of a fully clamped rigid-plastic square plate under uniform rectangular pressure pulse is expressed in the form of Equations (16) and (11), which takes the strain rate sensitivity into account. If a parameter γ is defined as

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{\left(\eta - 1\right)^2 H}{Da^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
(27)

a relationship exists between the model and the prototype as

$$\frac{\gamma''}{\gamma'} = \left(\frac{a'}{a''}\right)^2 \frac{H''}{H'} = \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^2 \beta = \frac{1}{\beta}$$
(28)

It can be seen that with the decrease of square plate dimension (i.e. $\beta < 1$), $\gamma'' > \gamma'$, i.e. $n_1'' > n_1'$. Combining Equation (16) with $n_1'' > n_1'$ yields

$$\left(W/H\right)_{sat}^{"} < \left(W/H\right)_{sat}^{'} \tag{29}$$

Above inequality indicates that $(W/H)_{sat}$ varies with the scale factor, that is, $(W/H)_{sat}$ depends on the dimension of the square plate.

Figure 3 shows the variation of dimensionless permanent displacement with dimensionless impulse from FEM result. It is shown that the saturated impulse still exists when retaining strain rate sensitivity. It is observed that in the high load range the strain rate sensitivity has a significant effect on the permanent displacement. The response of the plates does not obey the geometrically scaling law anymore; the 900x900x9mm square plate has the largest dimensionless displacement while the 150x150x1.5mm one has the smallest which agrees with Equation (29).



Figure 3: The variation of dimensionless permanent displacement vs. dimensionless impulse.

Another obvious feature from Figure 3 is that in low load range the permanent displacement has fluctuation with the impulse. This phenomenon might be related to the free vibration of the square plate. For a clamped square plate, the frequency of free vibration is

$$\omega_i = \frac{\lambda_i h}{a^2} \sqrt{\frac{E}{12\rho\left(1-\mu^2\right)}} \tag{30}$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = 35.99, \ \lambda_2 = 73.41, \ \lambda_3 = 108.27$$
 (31)

The period of free vibration is

$$T_i = 1/\omega_i \tag{32}$$

And for 900x900x9mm square plate

$$T_1 = 1604\,\mu s, \ T_2 = 786\,\mu s, \ T_3 = 533\,\mu s$$
 (33)

The saturated loading time is found to be close to the first order period of free vibration. The free vibration disturbs the permanent deformation, but the fluctuation of the permanent displacement so caused is limited. For engineering use it is reasonable to advise that in low load range an average saturated permanent displacement still exists.

Figure 4 shows the relationship between the dimensionless saturated permanent displacement and dimensionless pressure amplitude from elastoplastic FEM and compares it with the rigid-plastic prediction presented in Section 2.1. This figure shows that the saturated permanent displacement by FEM lies between the lower bound and upper bound of the maximum displacement predicting by the rigid-plastic analysis and it is closer to the lower bound. An empirical function between $(W_f/H)_{sat}$ and η is obtained

$$(W_f/H)_{sat} = 1.625 (\eta - 2/3)^{0.793}$$
(34)



Figure 4: Relationship between dimensionless saturated permanent displacement and dimensionless pressure amplitude.

Figure 5 compares the saturated impulse for maximum displacement predicted by the elastoplastic FEM and rigid-plastic analysis. Due to the fluctuation mentioned above, the saturated impulse for permanent displacement is not shown in Figure 5. The lower bound and upper bound for the saturated impulse predicted by the rigid-plastic analysis bound the saturated permanent displacement by the elastoplastic FEM. The relationship between \overline{I}_{sat}^{m} and η can be expressed by the empirical function



Figure 5: Comparison between saturated impulse for maximum displacement by elastoplastic FEM and that of rigid-plastic prediction.

Figure 6 shows the reduction proportion for the dimensionless saturated permanent displacement varying with scale factor for various load amplitudes. It is shown that the dimensionless saturated permanent displacement decreases with the decrease of the square plate dimension. The reduction proportion of saturated permanent displacement will also increase with the increase of dimensionless pressure amplitude. When the model size reduces to 1/6 of prototype, the dimensionless saturated permanent displacement will decrease by 11.1% at η =6.67; while it will decrease merely by 6.3% when η =1.33.



Figure 6: Variation of reduction proportion for dimensionless saturated permanent displacement with scale factor.

Figure 7 shows the variation of reduction proportion for the dimensionless saturated impulse of the maximum displacement with the scale factor for various load amplitudes. This impulse also decreases with the decrease of the square plate dimension. However regardless of the pressure amplitude, the saturated impulse falls by approximately 20% when the model size is reduced to 1/6 of prototype.



Figure 7: Variation of reduction proportion for dimensionless saturated impulse of maximum displacement with scale factor.

4 STIFFENING EFFECTS OF STRAIN RATE SENSITIVITY AND STRAIN HARDENING

4.1 Stiffening Effect of Strain Rate Sensitivity

In this section, comparisons for saturated permanent displacement and saturated impulse are made between models considering strain rate sensitivity and excluding strain rate sensitivity for square plate of 900x900x9mm. The material parameters are the same as in Table 1 except that the material with no strain rate sensitivity does not include the Cowper-Symonds coefficients D and q.

Figure 8 shows the relationship between the ratio of saturated permanent displacement, which excludes strain rate sensitivity effects to that takes strain rate sensitivity into account and dimensionless pressure amplitude. Curves result are both from the elastoplastic FEM analysis. The relationship between ratio n_1 in Equations (10) and (11) and the dimensionless pressure amplitude is also shown in this figure. It is found that an approximate quantitative relationship exists

$$\frac{\left(W_{sat}^{f}\right)_{NS}}{\left(W_{sat}^{f}\right)_{S}} / n_{1} \approx 1.11$$
(36)

where $(W_{sat}^f)_{NS}$ is the saturated permanent displacement excluding strain rate sensitivity; $(W_{sat}^f)_S$ is the saturated permanent displacement with strain rate sensitivity. This quantitative relationship indicates that the saturated displacement is inversely proportional to the stiffening factor n_1 of strain rate sensitivity and the saturated displacement is not linearly related to the dimensionless pressure amplitude η but the power law function of η .



Figure 8: Relationship between the ratio of saturated permanent displacement which excludes strain rate sensitivity to that counts in strain rate sensitivity and dimensionless pressure amplitude and relationship between n_1 and dimensionless pressure amplitude.

By a similar data processing method, the relationship between the ratio of the saturated impulse for maximum displacement which excludes strain rate sensitivity to that takes the strain rate sensitivity into account and $\sqrt{n_1}$ can be obtained. It is found that an approximate quantitative relationship exists

$$\frac{\left(\overline{I}_{sat}^{m}\right)_{NS}}{\left(\overline{I}_{sat}^{m}\right)_{S}} / \sqrt{n_{1}} \approx 1$$
(37)

where $(\bar{I}_{sar}^{m})_{NS}$ is the saturated impulse for maximum displacement excluding strain rate sensitivity; $(\bar{I}_{sar}^{m})_{S}$ is the saturated impulse for the maximum displacement with strain rate sensitivity. This quantitative relationship indicates that saturated impulse is inversely proportional to the square root of stiffening factor n_1 and the saturated impulse is a linear function of η .

4.2 Stiffening Effect of Strain Rate Hardening

Strain hardening also enhances the stiffness of plate. In this section, elastoplastic responses of 900x900x9mm square plates with various stain hardening modulus are investigated respectively. The material parameters are listed in Table 2.

Density $\rho~(kg/m^3)$	7800
Young's modulus E (GPa)	207
Yield stress σ_0 (MPa)	210
Tangent modulus E_t 1	E/100
Tangent modulus $E_t 2$	E/200
Tangent modulus E_t3	E/500
Tangent modulus E_t4	E/1000
Tangent modulus E_{t5}	E/2000
Tangent modulus $E_t 6$	0

Table 2: Material parameters.

Figure 9 shows the relationship between the ratio of the permanent deflection of perfectly plastic plate to that of plates made of a material with strain-hardening and the stiffening factor n_2 . It is shown that $\left(\left(W_{sat}^{f}\right)_{NH}/\left(W_{sat}^{f}\right)_{H}\right)/n_2$ has the maximum value of 1.17 approximately.



Figure 9: Relationship between the ratio of the permanent deflection of perfectly plastic plate to that of plates made of strain-hardening material and stiffening factor n_2 .

Figure 10 shows relationships between dimensionless saturated impulse for the permanent displacements and dimensionless tangent modulus for various dimensionless pressure amplitudes. The difference between the saturated impulses obtained for materials with different strain hardening modulus is barely observable. Based on the rigid-plastic theoretical analysis in section 2.2, the saturated impulse will decrease with the increase of hardening modulus. However stiffening factor n_2 has a maximum value of 1.15 in the case of $E_t/E=1/100$ and $\eta=7$. $\sqrt{n_2}$ is about 1.07 which is close to 1. This is why no remarkable difference for saturated impulse of different strain hardening modulus can be observed. Hence it is reasonable to neglect the strain hardening effect on saturated impulse. The empirical formula for the saturated impulse with dimensionless pressure amplitude η is given by Equation (38).



Figure 10: Relationship between dimensionless saturated impulse for permanent displacement and dimensionless tangent modulus for various dimensionless pressure amplitude.

5 DISCUSSION

Essentially, strain rate sensitivity and material strain hardening have the same effect on the dynamic behaviour of a structure; they both enhance the strength of a structure and have a deformation resisting effect.

In practical engineering, materials are often strain rate sensitive and strain hardened. To quantify the deformation-reducing effect of the combination of strain rate sensitivity and strain hardening, a generalized stiffening factor n is suggested to be estimated by

$$n = n_1 + n_2 - 1 \tag{39}$$

where n_1 is given in Equation (11) and n_2 is given in Equation (26).

Analyzing Equations (16), (18), (16'), (18'), (36), (37), and Figure 8, Figure 9 and Figure 10, it can be concluded that the saturated permanent displacement and saturated impulse has a quantitative relationship with stiffening factor respectively. The saturated permanent displacement is a power law function of the dimensionless pressure amplitude η for elastic-plastic material, and is inversely proportional to the stiffening factor n. The saturated impulse has linear relationship with dimensionless pressure amplitude η , and it is inversely proportional to the stiffening factor \sqrt{n} .

For strain rate sensitive material, n_1 can be calculated by Equation (11). For an elastic-plastic material with strain hardening, stiffening factor n_2 can be determined by Equation (26). Although they are from rigid-plastic analysis, from Equations (36) and (37) and Figure 8 and Figure 9, it is still satisfactory to use Equations (11) and (26) to measure the elastoplastic stiffening factor.

To measure whether stiffening effect between strain rate sensitivity or strain hardening has a greater effect on deflection and saturated impulse, the following comparison is made.

$$(n_{1}-1)/(n_{2}-1) = \left(\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{P_{c}}{\rho}}\frac{(\eta-1)^{2}H}{Da^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} / \left(3(\eta-1)^{2}\left(\frac{H}{a}\right)^{2}\frac{E_{t}}{\sigma_{0}}\right)$$
(40)

For mild steel it gives

$$(n_{1}-1)/(n_{2}-1) = \frac{\left(9\sqrt{2}/40\right)^{1/5}}{3} (\eta-1)^{-8/5} \left(H/a\right)^{-8/5} \frac{\left(\sqrt{\sigma_{0}/\rho}/a\right)^{\frac{1}{5}}}{E_{t}/\sigma_{0}}$$
(41)

Figure 11 is contour map of $(n_1-1)/(n_2-1)$ varying with tangent modulus and dimensionless pressure amplitude. It is found that not until E_t reaches 16GPa, that is Et/E is approximately 1/15 and dimensionless pressure amplitude reaches 7, does the strain hardening stiffening effect dominate the deformation resisting effect. That is only when the material has a very high strain hardening modulus does the strain hardening has a more significant stiffening effect than the strain rate sensitivity. In other common cases, strain rate sensitivity has a more obvious stiffening effect. The stiffening effect of the strain rate sensitivity will increase more significantly when the size of geometrically similar square plates decreases, whereas that of the strain hardening is not changed.

The results of this paper are of significant reference value for the evaluation of the plates' deformation depending on the material's stiffening effects. It is concluded from this study that a structure with higher strength is easier to reach a saturated state at a lower saturated impulse.



Figure 11: Contour map of $(n_1 - 1)/(n_2 - 1)$ varying with tangent modulus and dimensionless pressure amplitude.

6 CONCLUSIONS

This paper studies stiffening effects of the strain rate sensitivity and strain hardening on the saturated impulse of plates. Rigid-plastic analyses for saturated impulse, which consider strain rate sensitivity and strain hardening independently, are proved to be effective to estimate the saturated impulse of stiffening problem. Quantitative effects on saturated displacement and saturated impulse caused by the strain rate sensitivity and strain hardening are obtained. It is suggested that two stiffening factors n_1 and n_2 , which characterizes the stiffening effect of strain rate sensitivity and strain hardening. An integrated stiffening factor n retaining both strain rate sensitivity and strain hardening is also presented. A quantitative relationship is found that the saturated displacement is inversely proportional to \sqrt{n} . Some related empirical formulae for the displacement and saturated impulse is inversely proposed, which can provide valuable references for anti-blast design of engineering plate structures.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the support of the general project of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51579199). The author F. L. Chen would also like to thank the support of the China Academy of Engineering Physics key discipline project of "computational solid mechanics".

References

Alves, M. (2000). Material constitutive law for large strains and strain rates. Journal Engineering Mechanics 126 (2): 215–218.

Alves, M. and Yu J. L. (2005). Material influence on the response of impacted beams. Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures 2: 167-193.

Alves, M.and Oshiro, R. (2006a). Scaling the impact of a mass on a structure. International Journal of Impact Engineering 32:1158-1173.

Alves, M.and Oshiro, R. (2006b). Scaling impacted structures when the prototype and the model are made of different materials. International Journal of Solids and Structures 43: 2744–2760.

Bai X. Y., Zhu, L. and Yu T X. (2017). Saturated impulse for rectangular plates with various boundary conditions. Thin-walled structures, in press.

Hu, Y. Q. and Zhao, Y. P. (2001). Scale effect of plastic strain rate. Chinese Journal of Aeronautics 14(1): 37-43.

Jacob, N., Yuen, S. and Nurick, G. N. et al. (2004). Scaling aspects of quadrangular plates subjected to localized blast loads-experiments and predictions. International Journal of Impact Engineering 30(8-9): 1179-1208.

Jones, N. (2009). Hazard assessments for extreme dynamic loadings. Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures 6: 35-49.

Jones, N. (2012). Structural impact Second Edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Jones, N. (2014). Dynamic inelastic response of strain rate sensitive ductile plates due to large impact, dynamic pressure and explosive loadings. International Journal of Impact Engineering 74: 3-15.

Kadid, A. (2008). Stiffened plates subjected to uniform blast loading. Journal of Civil Engineering and Management 14(3): 155-161.

Marais, S. T., Tait, R. B. and Cloete T. J. et al. (2004). Material testing using the split Hopkinson pressure bar. Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures 1: 319-339.

Oshiro, R. and Alves, M. (2004). Scaling impacted structures. Archive of Applied Mechanics 74: 130-145.

Reitsma, H. J. (2001). The explosion of a ship, loaded with black powder, in Leiden in 1807. International Journal of Impact Engineering 25: 507-514.

Tavakolia, H. R. and Kiakojouri, F. (2014). Numerical dynamic analysis of stiffened plates under blast loading. Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures 11: 185-199.

Trajkovski, J., Kunc R. and Perenda J. et al. (2014). Minimum mesh design criteria for blast wave development and structural response – MM-ALE method. Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures 11: 1999-2017.

Zhao, Y. P., Yu, T. X. and Fang, J. (1994). Large dynamic plastic displacement of a simply supported beam subjected to rectangular pressure pulse. Archive of Applied Mechanics 64: 223-232.

Zhao, Y. P., Yu, T. X. and Fang, J. (1995). Saturated impulses for dynamically loaded structures with finite-deflections, Structural Engineering and Mechanics 3(6): 583-592.

Zhu, L, Faulkner, D. and Atkins, A. G. (1994). The impact of rectangular plates made from strain-rate sensitive materials. International Journal of Impact Engineering 15(3): 243-255.

Zhu, L., (1996). Transient deformation modes of square plates subjected to explosive loadings. International Journal of Solids and Structures 33: 301-314.

Zhu, L. and Yu, T. X. (1997). Saturated impulse for pulse-loaded elastic-plastic square plates. International Journal of Solids and Structures 34: 1709-1718.

Zhu, L., Bai. X. Y., Yu, T. X. (2016b). Saturated impulse for pulse-loaded elastic-plastic rectangular plates. Proceedings of International Conference on Impact Loading of Structures and Materials, Turin.

Zhu, L., Bai. X. Y., Yu, T. X. (2017). The saturated impulse of fully clamped square plates subjected to linearly decaying pressure pulse. International Journal of Impact Engineering, in press.

Zhu, L., He, X. and Yu, T. X. et. al. (2016a). Scaling effect on saturated impulse for square plates under rectangular pulse loading. Proceedings of International Conference on Ocean, Offshore and Arctic Engineering(OMAE 2016), Busan.